

URGENT BUSINESS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Planning Committee

7 October 2010

Agenda Item Number	Page	Title
11.	(Page 1)	Tree Preservation Order (No. 16) 2010 Sycamore tree at Turnstile House, Barford St. Michael
12.	(Page 2)	Tree Preservation Order (No. 17) 2010 Sycamore tree at Hill House, Workhouse Lane, Bloxham

If you need any further information about the meeting please contact Michael Sands, Legal and Democratic Services michael.sands@cherwell-dc.gov.uk (01295) 221554

Agenda Item 11

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO) SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Surveyor: Mark Harrison **Date:** 18/06/10 **Species:** Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus)
Location: The Old Turnstile Barford St Michael (T5)
TPO Ref (if applicable): _____ **Tree/Group No:** T5 **Owner (if known):** _____

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO (*Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only*)

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Good Highly suitable	Notes <i>The tree has several defects which would otherwise reduce its suitability for a TPO however, the low likely hood of targets in the vicinity of the tree and the addition of the proposed crown thin will further reduce the risk of failure.</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3) Fair Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) Dead Unsuitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) Dying/dangerous* Unsuitable	
Sub Total		3

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO (*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality*)

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) 100+ Highly suitable	Notes <i>With the appropriate management this tree is very likely to attain a further 40 yrs and is likely to provide wildlife benefits as a veteran far in excess of this.</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4) 40-100 Very suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) 20-40 Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) 10-20 Just suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) <10* Unsuitable	
Sub Total		4

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO - *Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable	Notes <i>The tree is to the rear of the garden away from the main street and although it can clearly been seen if looking in that direction one needs to be looking.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Young, small trees, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable	
Sub Total		3

d) Other factors - *Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Notes <i>Numerous sites within the tree with high habitat potential.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features	
Sub Total		3

Part 2: Expediency assessment - *Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Immediate threat to tree	Notes <i>Section 211 notification for removal from AGU trees.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Foreseeable threat to tree	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Perceived threat to tree	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Precautionary only	
Sub Total		1

Part 3: Decision guide

0 - Do not apply TPO 1-6 TPO indefensible 7-10 Does not merit TPO 11-14 TPO defensible 15+ Definitely merits TPO

Total Score **14**

Decision: TPO

Comments

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION OF TREES (TEMPO)
SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Surveyor: Mark Harrison **Date:** 25/06/10 **Species:** Sycamore
Location: Hill House, Workhouse Lane Bloxham
TPO Ref (if applicable): _____ **Tree/Group No:** _____ **Owner (if known):** _____

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO (*Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only*)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5) Good Highly suitable	Notes <i>Tree is in reasonable condition with minor dead wood throughout the crown normal for the spp.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Fair Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) Dead Unsuitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) Dying/dangerous* Unsuitable	
Sub Total		5

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO (*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality*)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5) 100+ Highly suitable	Notes <i>Tree approximately 15m from property - canopy not yet within 5m. Could attain a long life with minor works in the future to prevent damage to the property.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4) 40-100 Very suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) 20-40 Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) 10-20 Just suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) <10* Unsuitable	
Sub Total		5

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO - *Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable	Notes <i>Clearly visible from Bloxham high street</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Young, small trees, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable	
Sub Total		4

d) Other factors - *Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Notes <i>No additional factors to consider.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features	
Sub Total		1

Part 2: Expediency assessment - *Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify*

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5) Immediate threat to tree	Notes <i>Section 211 notice received to undertake 25% crown reduction</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Foreseeable threat to tree	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Perceived threat to tree	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Precautionary only	
Sub Total		5

Part 3: Decision guide

0 - Do not apply TPO 1-6 TPO indefensible 7-10 Does not merit TPO 11-14 TPO defensible 15+ Definitely merits TPO

Total Score **20** **Decision:** **Merrits TPO**

Comments