Public Document Pack



URGENT BUSINESS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Planning Committee

7 October 2010

Agenda Item	Page	Title
Number		
11.	(Page 1)	Tree Preservation Order (No. 16) 2010 Sycamore tree at Turnstile
		House, Barford St. Michael
12.	(Page 2)	Tree Preservation Order (No. 17) 2010 Sycamore tree at Hill House,
		Workhouse Lane, Bloxham

If you need any further information about the meeting please contact Michael Sands, Legal and Democratic Services michael.sands@cherwell-dc.gov.uk (01295) 221554

Agenda TREMALIVATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO) SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Survey	yor:	Mark Harrison	Date:	18/06/10	Species:	Sycamore (Acer	· pseudoplatanus)		
Locatio	on:	The Old Turnstile B	- arford St	Michael (T5)					
TPO R	Ref (if a	pplicable):	-	Tree/Group No:	T5	— Owner (if knowr	n):		
Part 1:	: Amer	nity assessment							
		·	(Relates	s to existing con	text and is inte	ended to apply to se	vere irremediable defects only)		
	5) God	od Highly suitable					Notes		
The tree has several defects which						The tree has several defects which woul otherwise reduce its suitability for a TPC			
	,	r Unlikely to be suita	ble				however, the low likely hood of targets in		
	0) Dea	d Unsuitable					vicinity of the tree and the addition of the		
	0) Dyir	ng/dangerous* Unsui	itable				proposed crown thin will further reduce to risk of failure.	ne	
							Sub Total	3	
		span (in years) & su heir context, or which					ear future nuisance, including those clearly etter quality)		
5	5) 100	+ Highly suitable					Notes		
X	4) 40-	100 Very suitable					With the appropriate management this tree is very likely to attain a further 40 yrs and is		
	2) 20-4	10 Suitable					likely to provide wildlife benefits as a	כו ג	
	,	20 Just suitable					veteran far in excess of this.		
	0) <10	* Unsuitable					Out Table		
							Sub Total	4	
c) Rela	ative p	ublic visibility & suita	bility for	TPO - Consider	realistic poter	ntial for future visibili	ity with changed land use		
5	5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable						117		
	4) Lar	ge trees, or medium	trees clea	arly visible to the	public Suitab	le	The tree is to the rear of the garden away from the main street and although it can		
X 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable clearly been seen if lo						clearly been seen if looking in that direct	ion		
	2) You	ng, small trees, or m	edium/la	rge trees visible	only with diffic	culty Barely suitable	one needs to be looking.		
	1) Tree	es not visible to the p	oublic, reg	gardless of size	Probably unsu	uitable		1	
							Sub Total	3	
d) Othe	er fact	ors - Trees must hav	e accrue	ed 7 or more poir	nts (with no ze	ero score) to qualify			
	5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees								
5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion					Numerous sites within the tree with high				
				nabiai potentiai.					
	3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual								
	•	es with none of the a		•					
	1) 116	es with home of the a	bove aud	illional redeemii	ig leatures		Sub Total	3	
Part 2	· Exne	diency assessment -	Trees m	oust have accrue	ed 9 or more n	oints to qualify	oub rotal	Ë	
	•	nediate threat to tree		act have decree	a c ci more p	omio to quamy	Notes		
	,	eseeable threat to tre					Section 211 notification for removal from	7	
		ceived threat to tree					AGU trees.		
		cautionary only							
	,	, ,							
							Sub Total	1	
Part 3:	: Decis	ion guide							
		~	indefens	sible 7-10 Do	es not merit T	PO 11-14 TPO det	fensible 15+ Definitely merits TPO		
_	_								
Total S	Score	14 D	ecision:	TPO					
Comm	nents								

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION OF THE POPULATION OF THE SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Surveyor:	Mark Harrison	Date : 25/06/10	Species:	Sycamore	
Location:	Hill House, Workho	use Lane Bloxham	<u> </u>		
TPO Ref (if	applicable):	Tree/Group No:		Owner (if known):	
Part 1: Ame	nity assessment				
a) Condition	& suitability for TPC	(Relates to existing conte	ext and is inte	tended to apply to severe irremediable defects only)	
X 5) Good Highly suitable 3) Fair Suitable 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable 0) Dead Unsuitable 0) Dying/dangerous* Unsuitable					
	3 3			Sub Total 5	
outgrowing	their context, or whic			are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly ial of other trees of better quality)	
4) 40- 2) 20- 1) 10-	0+ Highly suitable 100 Very suitable 40 Suitable 20 Just suitable 0* Unsuitable			Notes Tree approximately 15m from property - canopy not yet within 5m. Could attain a long life with minor works in the future to prevent damage to the property.	
				Sub Total 5	
c) Relative	oublic visibility & suita	ability for TPO - Consider re	ealistic poter	ential for future visibility with changed land use	
X 4) Lar 3) Me 2) You	rge trees, or medium dium trees, or large t ung, small trees, or n	me visibility, or prominent latrees clearly visible to the prees with limited view only nedium/large trees visible obtaile, regardless of size Press of size	oublic Suitab Suitable only with diffic	ble Clearly visible from Bloxham high street ficulty Barely suitable	
		ouslie, regulated of oize in	iobably alloc	Sub Total 4	
d) Other fac	tors - Trees must ha	ve accrued 7 or more points	s (with no ze	rero score) to qualify	
5) Prii 4) Tre 3) Tre 2) Tre	ncipal components on the groups, or membe these with identifiable has these of particularly good	f arboricultural features, or rs of groups important for th istoric, commemorative or l and form, especially if rare or above additional redeeming	veteran trees neir cohesion habitat impo r unusual	Notes No additional factors to consider.	
				Sub Total 1	
X 5) Imr 3) For 2) Per	ediency assessment mediate threat to tree reseeable threat to tree received threat to tree ecautionary only		19 or more p	Notes Section 211 notice received to undertake 25% crown reduction	
				Sub Total 5	
Part 3: Deci	~				
0 - Do not a Total Score		o indefensible 7-10 Does Decision: Merrits TPO	s not merit T	TPO 11-14 TPO defensible 15+ Definitely merits TPO	
	<u> </u>	JOSOGIA MICHINO II C			
Comments					